

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,384	11/25/2003	Yoshitaka Suzuki	14225.0008US01	8021	
52835	7590 01/05/2006		EXAMINER		
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902-0902			GIBSON, RANDY W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			2841		
		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-au			
Office Action Summary		10/723,384	SUZUKI, YOSHITAKA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Randy W. Gibson	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•		ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of John 1 10-132	<b>L.</b>			
•	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		) (I) (D)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 19 December 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant makes the following argument:

Claim 1 is directed to a method wherein a first output from the first weight detecting unit is obtained. Also, a second output from a different second weight detecting unit is obtained. The second output changes inversely at a rate similar to the rate at which the first output changes. This is because the second weight detecting unit provides a set of strain resistors on an opposite side of the sensor plate as the first weight detecting unit. Consequently, when the weight of the occupant is calculated, the output of one of the first and second weight detecting units is inverted with respect to the other before they are added together.

Mehney does not disclose a method "calculating the weight of the occupant based on a value obtained by inverting the output from one of the first and second weight detecting units and adding the inverted output to the output from the other weight detecting unit." The assemblies of Mehney are identical so there is no inverted output. As a consequence, claim 1 and the claims which depend from it are not anticipated by Mehney.

The examiner is not sure that this argument is accurate since the arrangement of strain sensors shown in Figure 2 of Mehney looks identical to the arrangement shown in applicant's Figure 10A (which applicant appears to be claiming), and the circuit arrangement shown in Figure 3 of Mehney seems to be the same as shown in

applicant's Figure 10B. An identical structure and an identical circuit must have the same function. What is applicant's "inverting" means and how is it structurally different that the arrangement shown in the Mehney reference? More explanation is needed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehney et al (US # 6,039,344). See column 3, lines 16-55.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,384 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2841